

A PROOF OF KONTSEVICH-SOIBELMAN CONJECTURE

ALEXANDER I. EFIMOV

ABSTRACT. It is well known that "Fukaya category" is in fact an A_∞ -pre-category in sense of Kontsevich and Soibelman [KS]. The reason is that in general the morphism spaces are defined only for transversal pairs of Lagrangians, and higher products are defined only for transversal sequences of Lagrangians. In [KS] it is conjectured that for any graded commutative ring k , quasi-equivalence classes of A_∞ -pre-categories over k are in bijection with quasi-equivalence classes of A_∞ -categories over k with strict (or weak) identity morphisms.

In this paper we prove this conjecture for essentially small A_∞ -(pre-)categories, in the case when k is a field. In particular, it follows that we can replace Fukaya A_∞ -pre-category with a quasi-equivalent actual A_∞ -category.

We also present natural construction of pre-triangulated envelope in the framework of A_∞ -pre-categories. We prove its invariance under quasi-equivalences.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Preliminaries on A_∞ -(pre-)categories	3
2.1. Non-unital A_∞ -algebras and A_∞ -categories	3
2.2. Identity morphisms	5
2.3. A_∞ -pre-categories	5
3. Main Theorem	7
3.1. From essentially small to small	7
3.2. Minimal models	8
3.3. Hochschild cohomology of graded pre-categories	9
3.4. Main Lemma	10
3.5. A_∞ -structures on a graded pre-category	13
3.6. Invariance Theorem	15
3.7. Proof of Main Theorem	16
4. Twisted complexes over A_∞ -pre-categories	17
References	20

The author was partially supported by the Moebius Contest Foundation for Young Scientists, and by the NSh grant 1983.2009.1.

1. INTRODUCTION

A remarkable construction of K. Fukaya [F] associates to a symplectic manifold a ($\mathbb{Z}$ - or $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -)graded A_∞ -pre-category in sense of Kontsevich and Soibelman [KS]. Its objects are Lagrangian submanifolds with some additional structures. This is not an actual A_∞ -category since in general the morphism spaces are defined only for transversal pairs of Lagrangians, and higher products are defined only for transversal sequences of Lagrangians. Fukaya's construction is used in the categorical interpretation of mirror symmetry [K] for Calabi-Yau varieties, and further generalizations to Fano and general cases, the so-called homological mirror symmetry conjecture. For the systematic exposition of different versions of Fukaya A_∞ -pre-categories, see [Se].

However, in order to prove HMS conjecture at least in some special cases, one should first replace Fukaya A_∞ -pre-category with a (quasi-equivalent) actual A_∞ -category. Clearly, each A_∞ -category (with weak identity morphisms) can be considered also as an A_∞ -pre-category. Kontsevich and Soibelman [KS] formulated the following natural conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. ([KS]) *Let k be a graded commutative ring. Then quasi-equivalence classes of A_∞ -pre-categories over k are in bijection with quasi-equivalence classes of A_∞ -categories over k with strict (or weak) identity morphisms.*

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let k be a field. Then quasi-equivalence classes of essentially small A_∞ -pre-categories over k are in bijection with quasi-equivalence classes of essentially small A_∞ -categories over k with strict (or weak) identity morphisms.*

We deal with A_∞ -(pre-)categories over a field because we need to pass to minimal A_∞ -(pre-)categories (i.e. with $m_1 = 0$). Further, we deal with essentially small A_∞ -(pre-)categories for purely set-theoretical reason: we need to consider Hochschild cohomology of graded (pre-)categories.

The second subject of the paper is the natural construction of twisted complexes in the framework of A_∞ -pre-categories. Here the main statement is the invariance of twisted complexes under quasi-equivalences (Proposition 4.6). For ordinary A_∞ -categories we obtain standard pre-triangulated envelopes.

The paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2 we define A_∞ -categories, strict and weak identity morphisms, quasi-equivalences, and A_∞ -pre-categories, following [KS].

In Section 3 we prove Main Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 3.2). The proof goes as follows. In Subsection 3.1 we pass from essentially small A_∞ -(pre-)categories to small ones. Further, in

Subsection 3.2 we pass from small to small minimal A_∞ - (pre-)categories. In Subsection 3.3 we define Hochschild cohomology of graded pre-categories. Roughly speaking, obstructions to constructing, step by step, of A_∞ -structures and A_∞ -morphisms live in these cohomology spaces.

In Subsection 3.4 we formulate and prove Main Lemma (Lemma 3.4) about invariance of Hochschild cohomology under equivalences of graded pre-categories. In contrast to ordinary DG and A_∞ -categories, this is non-trivial, and this is in fact the crucial point in the proof of Main Theorem. Here we use the language of simplicial local systems.

In Subsection 3.5 we introduce the sets of equivalence classes of minimal A_∞ -structures on graded pre-categories, and develop basic obstruction theory for lifting A_∞ -structures and A_∞ -homotopies. In Subsection 3.6 we apply Main Lemma to prove the invariance of the set of equivalence classes of minimal A_∞ -structures on graded pre-categories. Finally, in Subsection 3.7 we prove Main Theorem using the invariance result.

In Section 4 we present the construction of pre-triangulated envelope for A_∞ -pre-categories over arbitrary graded commutative ring. We verify that it is well-defined and is invariant under quasi-equivalences.

Acknowledgments. I am grateful to D. Kaledin for his remarks.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON A_∞ -(PRE-)CATEGORIES

Fix some basic field k of arbitrary characteristic.

2.1. Non-unital A_∞ -algebras and A_∞ -categories. Let $A = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} A^i$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$ (resp. $\mathbb{Z}/2$)-graded vector space. Denote by $A[n]$ its shift by n : $A[n]^i := A^{i+n}$.

Definition 2.1. A structure of a non-unital A_∞ -algebra on A is given by degree $+1$ coderivation $b : T_+(A[1]) \rightarrow T_+(A[1])$, such that $b^2 = 0$. Here $T_+(A[1]) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} A[1]^{\otimes n}$ is a cofree tensor coalgebra.

The coderivation b is uniquely determined by its "Taylor coefficients" $m_n : A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A[2-n]$, $n \geq 1$. The condition $b^2 = 0$ is equivalent to the series of quadratic relations

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{i+j=n+2} \sum_{0 \leq l \leq i-1} (-1)^\epsilon m_i(a_0, \dots, a_{l-1}, m_j(a_l, \dots, a_{l+j-1}), a_{l+j}, \dots, a_n) = 0,$$

where $a_m \in A$, and $\epsilon = j \sum_{0 \leq s \leq l-1} \deg(a_s) + l(j-1) + j(i-1)$. In particular, for $n = 0$, we have $m_1^2 = 0$.

Definition 2.2. An A_∞ -morphism of non-unital A_∞ -algebras $A \rightarrow B$ is a morphism of the corresponding non-counital DG coalgebras $T_+(A[1]) \rightarrow T_+(B[1])$.

Such an A_∞ -morphism is uniquely determined by its "Taylor coefficients" $f_n : A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow B[1-n]$, satisfying the following system of equations:

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{1 \leq l_1 < l_2 < \dots < l_i = n} (-1)^{\gamma_i} m_i^B(f_{l_1}(a_1, \dots, a_{l_1}), \dots, f_{n-l_{i-1}}(a_{l_{i-1}+1}, \dots, a_n)) = \\ \sum_{s+r=n+1} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq s} (-1)^{\epsilon_j} f_s(a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, m_r^A(a_j, \dots, a_{j+r-1}), a_{j+r}, \dots, a_n),$$

where $a_m \in A$, $\epsilon_j = r \sum_{1 \leq p \leq j-1} \deg(a_p) + j - 1 + r(s-j)$, $\gamma_i = \sum_{1 \leq p \leq i-1} (i-p)(l_p - l_{p-1} - 1) + \sum_{1 \leq p \leq i-1} \nu(l_p) \sum_{l_{p-1}+1 \leq q \leq l_p} \deg(a_q)$, where we use the notation $\nu(l_p) = \sum_{p+1 \leq m \leq i} (l_m - l_{m-1} - 1)$, and put $l_0 = 0$.

Definition 2.3. A non-unital $\mathbb{Z}$ (resp. $\mathbb{Z}/2$)-graded A_∞ -category $\mathcal{C}$ is given by the following data:

- 1) A class of objects $Ob(\mathcal{C})$.
- 2) For any two objects X_1 and X_2 , a $\mathbb{Z}$ (resp. $\mathbb{Z}/2$)-graded vector space of morphisms $\text{Hom}(X_1, X_2)$.
- 3) For any sequence of objects $X_0, \dots, X_n$, a map of graded vector spaces $m_n : \bigotimes_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} \text{Hom}(X_i, X_{i+1}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(X_0, X_n)[2-n]$.

This data is required to satisfy the following property: for each finite collection of objects $X_0, \dots, X_N$, $N \geq 0$, the graded vector space $\bigoplus_{0 \leq i, j \leq N} \text{Hom}(X_i, X_j)$ equipped with operations m_n , becomes an A_∞ -algebra.

Remark 2.4. A non-unital A_∞ -algebra can be considered as a non-unital A_∞ -category with one object X such that $\text{Hom}(X, X) = A$.

Remark 2.5. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a non-unital A_∞ -category, then we have a "non-unital" graded category $H(\mathcal{C})$, which is defined by replacing the spaces of morphisms by their cohomologies with respect to m_1 . Here "non-unital" means that we may not have identity morphisms $id_X \in \text{Hom}_{H(\mathcal{C})}^0(X, X)$.

Definition 2.6. An A_∞ -functor between non-unital A_∞ -categories $F : \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$ is given by the following data:

- 1) A map of classes of objects $F : Ob(\mathcal{C}_1) \rightarrow Ob(\mathcal{C}_2)$.
- 2) For any finite sequence of objects $X_0, \dots, X_n$ in $\mathcal{C}_1$, a morphism of graded vector spaces $f_n : \bigotimes_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} \text{Hom}(X_i, X_{i+1}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F(X_0), F(X_n))[1-n]$.

It is required that for any finite collection of objects $X_0, \dots, X_N$, $N \geq 0$, the sequence f_n , $n \geq 1$, defines an A_∞ -morphism

$$(2.3) \quad \bigoplus_{0 \leq i, j \leq N} \text{Hom}(X_i, X_j) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{0 \leq i, j \leq N} \text{Hom}(F(X_i), F(X_j)).$$

2.2. Identity morphisms. Now we define strict and weak identity morphisms.

Definition 2.7. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a non-unital A_∞ -category, and $X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$. A morphism $e \in \text{Hom}^0(X, X)$ is called

a) a strict identity if $m_2(f, e) = f$, $m_2(e, g) = g$, for $n \neq 2$ $m_n(f_1, \dots, f_{i-1}, e, f_{i+1}, \dots, f_n) = 0$ for any morphisms f, g, f_j such that the equalities make sense. In this case we put $1_X := e$.

b) a weak identity if $m_1(e) = 0$, and for any closed morphisms $f : X \rightarrow Y$, $g : Z \rightarrow X$, we have that in $H(\mathcal{C})$ $\bar{e} \cdot \bar{g} = \bar{g}$, $\bar{f} \cdot \bar{e} = \bar{f}$.

Clearly, a strict identity is also a weak identity.

Remark 2.8. If a non-unital A_∞ -category $\mathcal{C}$ has at least weak identity morphisms, then $H(\mathcal{C})$ is an actual graded category, and vice versa.

Definition 2.9. An A_∞ -functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ between A_∞ -categories with strict (resp. weak) identity morphisms is called strictly (resp. weakly) unital if it preserves strict units and $f_n(g_1, \dots, 1_X, \dots, g_n) = 0$ whenever $n > 1$ (resp. if it preserves weak identity morphisms).

Definition 2.10. A strictly (resp. weakly) unital A_∞ -functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ between strictly (resp. weakly) unital A_∞ -categories is called a quasi-equivalence if the induced functor $H(F) : H(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H(\mathcal{D})$ is an equivalence of graded categories.

Two A_∞ -categories with weak identity morphisms $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are called quasi-equivalent if there exists a finite sequence of A_∞ -categories with weak identity morphisms $\mathcal{C}_0 = \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_n = \mathcal{D}$ such that for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ there exists a quasi-equivalence $\mathcal{C}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{i+1}$ or vice versa.

The following statement is well-known, see [L-H].

Proposition 2.11. If we consider only A_∞ -categories with strict identity morphisms and strictly unital quasi-equivalences, then the resulting quasi-equivalence classes are in bijection with quasi-equivalence classes of A_∞ -categories with weak identity morphisms.

2.3. A_∞ -pre-categories. Now we recall the definition of A_∞ -pre-categories which were originally defined in [KS]. We start with the notion of a non-unital A_∞ -pre-category.

Definition 2.12. A non-unital $\mathbb{Z}$ (resp. $\mathbb{Z}/2$)-graded A_∞ -pre-category $\mathcal{C}$ is the following data:

- a) A class of objects $Ob(\mathcal{C})$,
- b) For any $n \geq 1$ a subclass $\mathcal{C}_{tr}^n \subset Ob(\mathcal{C})^n$ of transversal sequences. It is required that $\mathcal{C}_{tr}^1 = Ob(\mathcal{C})$.

c) For each pair $(X_1, X_2) \in \mathcal{C}_{tr}^2$, a graded vector space $\text{Hom}(X_1, X_2)$.

d) For a transversal sequence of objects $X_0, \dots, X_n$, a map of graded vector spaces $m_n :$

$$\bigotimes_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} \text{Hom}(X_i, X_{i+1}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(X_0, X_n)[2-n].$$

It is required that each subsequence $(X_{i_1}, X_{i_2}, \dots, X_{i_l})$, $0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_l \leq n$ of a transversal sequence $(X_0, \dots, X_n)$ is transversal, and that the graded vector space

$$\bigoplus_{0 \leq i < j \leq N} \text{Hom}(X_i, X_j) \text{ with operations } m_n \text{ becomes a non-unital } A_\infty\text{-algebra.}$$

Note that the property of transversality for a pair of objects is not required to be symmetric. Clearly, a non-unital A_∞ -category is the same as a non-unital A_∞ -pre-category $\mathcal{C}$ with $\mathcal{C}_{tr}^n = Ob(\mathcal{C})^n$.

Definition 2.13. An A_∞ -functor between non-unital A_∞ -pre-categories $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is given by the following data:

1) A map of classes of objects $F : Ob(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow Ob(\mathcal{D})$, such that $F(\mathcal{C}_{tr}^n) \subset \mathcal{D}_{tr}^n$.

2) For any finite transversal sequence of objects $X_0, \dots, X_n$ in $\mathcal{C}$, a morphism of graded vector spaces $f_n : \bigotimes_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} \text{Hom}(X_i, X_{i+1}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F(X_0), F(X_n))[1-n]$.

It is required that for any transversal sequence of objects $X_0, \dots, X_N$, $N \geq 0$, the sequence f_n , $n \geq 1$, defines an A_∞ -morphism

$$(2.4) \quad \bigoplus_{0 \leq i < j \leq N} \text{Hom}(X_i, X_j) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{0 \leq i < j \leq N} \text{Hom}(F(X_i), F(X_j)).$$

Roughly speaking, an A_∞ -pre-category is a non-unital A_∞ -pre-category with sufficiently many transversal sequences. To define this notion rigorously, we first define the notion of a quasi-isomorphism.

Definition 2.14. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a non-unital A_∞ -pre-category, and $(X_1, X_2) \in \mathcal{C}_{tr}^2$. A closed morphism $f \in \text{Hom}^0(X_1, X_2)$ is called a quasi-isomorphism if for any objects X_0 and X_3 such that $(X_0, X_1, X_2) \in \mathcal{C}_{tr}^3$, $(X_1, X_2, X_3) \in \mathcal{C}_{tr}^3$, one has that the maps

$$(2.5) \quad m_2(f, \cdot) : \text{Hom}(X_0, X_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(X_0, X_2) \quad \text{and} \quad m_2(\cdot, f) : \text{Hom}(X_2, X_3) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(X_1, X_3)$$

are quasi-isomorphisms.

Definition 2.15. An A_∞ -pre-category is a non-unital A_∞ -pre-category $\mathcal{C}$ which satisfies the following extension property:

For any finite collection of transversal sequences $(S_i)_{i \in I}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ and an object X there exist objects X_-, X_+ and quasi-isomorphisms $f_- : X_- \rightarrow X$, $f_+ : X \rightarrow X_+$ such that the sequences (X_-, S_i, X_+) , $i \in I$, are transversal.

Definition 2.16. Let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be A_∞ -pre-categories. An A_∞ -functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is an A_∞ -functor between the corresponding non-unital A_∞ -pre-categories which takes quasi-isomorphisms in $\mathcal{C}$ to quasi-isomorphisms in $\mathcal{D}$.

Remark 2.17. An A_∞ -category with weak identity morphisms is the same as an A_∞ -pre-category $\mathcal{C}$ with $\mathcal{C}_{tr}^n = \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})^n$.

Now we define the notion of quasi-equivalence for A_∞ -pre-categories.

Definition 2.18. An A_∞ -functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ between A_∞ -pre-categories is called quasi-equivalence if:

- a) For any pair $(X_1, X_2) \in \mathcal{C}_{tr}^2$, the map $f_1 : \text{Hom}(X_1, X_2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F(X_1), F(X_2))$ is a quasi-isomorphism.
- b) Each object Y of $\mathcal{D}$ is quasi-isomorphic to some $F(X)$, $X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$.

Definition 2.19. Two A_∞ -pre-categories $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are called quasi-equivalent if there exists a sequence $\mathcal{C}_0, \mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_n$ of A_∞ -pre-categories with $\mathcal{C}_0 = \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{C}_n = \mathcal{D}$, such that for each $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ there exists a quasi-equivalence from $\mathcal{C}_i$ to $\mathcal{C}_{i+1}$ or vice versa.

3. MAIN THEOREM

Definition 3.1. An A_∞ -(pre-)category $\mathcal{C}$ is called essentially small (resp. small) if the quasi-isomorphism classes in $\mathcal{C}$ form a set (resp. $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ is a set).

In the rest of the paper " A_∞ -category" stands for " A_∞ -category with weak identity morphisms".

Theorem 3.2. Quasi-equivalence classes of essentially small A_∞ -pre-categories are in bijection with quasi-equivalence classes of essentially small A_∞ -categories.

The proof of this theorem will occupy this section.

3.1. From essentially small to small. Define the quasi-equivalence classes of small A_∞ -(pre-)categories by requiring all the intermediate A_∞ -(pre-)categories $\mathcal{C}_i$ in the chain to be small.

Lemma 3.3. *Quasi-equivalence classes of essentially small A_∞ -(pre-)categories are in bijection with quasi-equivalence classes of small A_∞ -(pre-)categories.*

Proof. We will just show how to construct a small A_∞ -(pre-)category starting from essentially small one. All the rest checkings are straightforward.

The case of A_∞ -categories is obvious. Namely, given essentially small A_∞ -category $\mathcal{C}$, we can choose one object from each quasi-isomorphism class, and take the corresponding full A_∞ -subcategory. By construction, it is small and the inclusion A_∞ -functor is a quasi-equivalence.

To treat the case of A_∞ -pre-categories, we need the following non-canonical operation on the small subclasses. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an A_∞ -pre-category, and $\mathcal{D} \subset \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ be a small subclass (i.e. which is a set). For each finite collection $S_1, \dots, S_n$ of transversal sequences in $\mathcal{D}$, choose the objects $X_\pm = X_\pm(S.) \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ such that all the sequences (X_-, S_i, X_+) are transversal. Let $T(\mathcal{D})$ be the union of $\mathcal{D}$ and all pairs $(X_-(S.), X_+(S.))$. Then $T(\mathcal{D})$ is again a small subclass of $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$. Put

$$(3.1) \quad T^\infty(\mathcal{D}) := \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n(\mathcal{D}).$$

Now, let $\mathcal{D}$ be a small subclass of $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ which contains precisely one object from each quasi-isomorphism class. Take the full A_∞ -sub-pre-category $\mathcal{E} = T^\infty(\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathcal{C}$. Clearly, $\mathcal{E}$ is a small A_∞ -pre-category by construction, and the inclusion A_∞ -functor $\mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a quasi-equivalence. $\square$

In the rest of this section, we deal only with small A_∞ -(pre-)categories.

3.2. Minimal models.

Definition 3.4. *An A_∞ -(pre-)category is called minimal if $m_1 = 0$.*

Define quasi-equivalence classes of minimal A_∞ -(pre-)categories by requiring all the intermediate A_∞ -(pre-)categories $\mathcal{C}_i$ in the chain to be minimal.

Lemma 3.5. *Quasi-equivalence classes of A_∞ -(pre-)categories are in bijection with quasi-equivalence classes of minimal A_∞ -(pre-)categories.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an A_∞ -pre-category. For each pair of objects (X_1, X_2) (resp. for $(X_1, X_2) \in \mathcal{C}_{tr}^2$) choose a direct sum decomposition $\text{Hom}(X_1, X_2) = K(X_1, X_2) \oplus \text{Ac}(X_1, X_2)$, where $K(X_1, X_2)$ is a subcomplex with zero differential, and $\text{Ac}(X_1, X_2)$ is an acyclic subcomplex. Denote by $i(X_1, X_2) : K(X_1, X_2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(X_1, X_2)$ the natural inclusion, $p(X_1, X_2) : \text{Hom}(X_1, X_2) \rightarrow \text{Ac}(X_1, X_2)$ the natural projection (both i and p are quasi-isomorphisms). Choose some contracting homotopy $h = h(X_1, X_2) : \text{Ac}(X_1, X_2) \rightarrow$

$Ac(X_1, X_2)$, such that $h^2 = 0$. Finally, denote by $H(X_1, X_2) : \text{Hom}(X_1, X_2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(X_1, X_2)$ the extension of h by zero.

Starting from the data $i(X_1, X_2)$, $p(X_1, X_2)$ and $H(X_1, X_2)$, and applying the standard formulae for transferring A_∞ -structures (see [KS]), one obtains:

- 1) An A_∞ -(pre-)category $\mathcal{C}_{min}$ with $Ob(\mathcal{C}_{min}) = Ob(\mathcal{C})$ and $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{min}}(X_1, X_2) = K(X_1, X_2)$. Also, in the case of A_∞ -pre-categories, we have $(\mathcal{C}_{min})_{tr}^n = \mathcal{C}_{tr}^n$.
- 2) A quasi-equivalence $F : \mathcal{C}_{min} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, such that $F(X) = X$ and $f_1 = i$.
- 3) A quasi-equivalence $G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{min}$, such that $G(X) = X$ and $g_1 = p$.

Lemma follows easily. $\square$

Hence, it suffices to deal only with minimal A_∞ -(pre-)categories.

3.3. Hochschild cohomology of graded pre-categories.

Definition 3.6. Define a $(\mathbb{Z}$ - or $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -)graded pre-category as an A_∞ -pre-category with $m_n = 0$ for $n \neq 2$.

Define a functor between graded pre-categories to be an A_∞ -functor with $f_n = 0$ for $n \neq 1$. We say that such a functor is an equivalence if it is a quasi-equivalence of A_∞ -pre-categories.

Proposition 3.7. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a graded pre-category. Then it can be canonically extended to an actual graded category $\mathcal{C}_{full}$ with $Ob(\mathcal{C}_{full}) = Ob(\mathcal{C})$, together with a natural equivalence of graded pre-categories $\iota : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{full}$, $\iota(X) = X$ for any $X \in Ob(\mathcal{C})$.

Proof. Category $\mathcal{C}_{full}$ can be obtained by formal inverting of quasi-isomorphisms in $\mathcal{C}$. We leave the straightforward checking to the reader. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a graded pre-category. Define the bigraded Hochschild complex of $\mathcal{C}$ by the following formula:

$$(3.2) \quad CC^{i,j}(\mathcal{C}) = \prod_{(X_0, \dots, X_i) \in \mathcal{C}_{tr}^i} \text{Hom}^j \left(\bigotimes_{1 \leq l \leq i} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_{l-1}, X_l), \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{full}}(X_0, X_i) \right).$$

Here $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ (resp. $j \in \mathbb{Z}/2$). We write $\mathcal{C}_{full}$ in the above equation, because for $i = 0$ the sequence (X_0, X_0) is not transversal in general.

The differential is the standard Hochschild one. It maps $CC^{i,j}(\mathcal{C})$ to $CC^{i+1,j}(\mathcal{C})$. Namely, for $\phi \in CC^{n,p}(\mathcal{C})$, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial(\phi)(a_{n+1}, \dots, a_1) = & \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{n-i} \phi(a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{i+1}a_i, \dots, a_1) + \\ & (-1)^n \phi(a_{n+1}, \dots, a_2)a_1 + (-1)^{p \deg(a_{n+1})+1} a_{n+1} \phi(a_n, \dots, a_1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have bigraded Hochschild cohomology $HH^{i,j}(\mathcal{C})$.

Now suppose that we have a fully faithful functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ between graded pre-categories. Clearly, it induces a morphism of complexes (preserving the gradings)

$$(3.4) \quad F^* : CC^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow CC^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathcal{C}).$$

3.4. Main Lemma. The key statement in our proof of Main Theorem is the following.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be an equivalence of graded pre-categories. Then the induced morphism of complexes*

$$(3.5) \quad F^* : CC^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow CC^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathcal{C})$$

induces isomorphisms $F^ : HH^{i,j}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow HH^{i,j}(\mathcal{C})$.*

We will prove this lemma in the framework of local systems on simplicial sets. Recall the category Δ , which is a (non-full) subcategory of the category of sets. Its objects are denoted by $[n] = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, where $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Further,

$$(3.6) \quad \text{Hom}_{\Delta}([m], [n]) = \{\text{non-decreasing maps of sets } f : [m] \rightarrow [n]\}.$$

The category Δ admits the well-known system of generating morphisms, which consists of the face maps $\sigma_i^n : [n] \rightarrow [n+1]$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n+1$, and degeneration maps $s_i^n : [n] \rightarrow [n-1]$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. Here

$$(3.7) \quad \sigma_i^n(j) = \begin{cases} j & \text{for } j \leq i-1 \\ j+1 & \text{for } j \geq i, \end{cases} \quad s_i^n(j) = \begin{cases} j & \text{for } j \leq i \\ j-1 & \text{for } j \geq i+1. \end{cases}$$

A simplicial set $\mathcal{X}$ is a functor $\mathcal{X} : \Delta^{op} \rightarrow \text{Set}$, $\mathcal{X}_n = \mathcal{X}([n])$. We treat $\mathcal{X}$ also as a category (which we denote by the same letter). Its objects are elements $X_n \in \mathcal{X}_n$. Further,

$$(3.8) \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(X_m, X_n) = \{f : [m] \rightarrow [n] \mid f^*(X_n) = X_m\},$$

and the composition is obvious.

Given a simplicial set $\mathcal{X}$, a (cohomological) local system of k -vector spaces on $\mathcal{X}$ is a functor $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow k\text{-Vect}$. In particular, we have a constant local system $\underline{k}$ with fiber k . Namely, $\underline{k}(X_n) = k$, and $\underline{k}(f) = \text{id}$ for $f : X_m \rightarrow X_n$.

Local systems obviously form an abelian category. It is also easy to see that it has enough projectives. Indeed, for each object $X_n \in \mathcal{X}$, we have the corresponding local system P_{X_n} , $P_{X_n}(Y_m) = k[\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(X_n, Y_m)]$, where $k[\cdot]$ means the formal linear envelope. By Ioneda Lemma, we have that

$$(3.9) \quad \text{Hom}(P_{X_n}, \mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}(X_n).$$

Hence, P_{X_n} is projective. Each local system has a resolution by direct sums of such projectives.

Cohomology of a local system $\mathcal{A}$ is defined as $Ext(\underline{k}, \mathcal{A})$.

Now recall the well-known projective resolution of the local system $\underline{k}$. Put

$$(3.10) \quad \mathcal{P}_n = \bigoplus_{X_n \in \mathcal{X}_n} P_{X_n}.$$

The differential $\partial : \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$ is the sum of maps $(-1)^i \sigma_i^{n-1} : P_{X_n} \rightarrow P_{(\sigma_i^{n-1})^*(X_n)}$. The standard complex computing cohomology of $\mathcal{A}$ is just a complex of morphisms from this projective resolution to $\mathcal{A}$.

Proof of lemma 3.8. Define graded category $\mathcal{E}$ to be a full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}_{full}$, which contains exactly one object from each isomorphism class in $\mathcal{D}$. Choose some functor $G : \mathcal{D}_{full} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$, which equals to identity on $\mathcal{E}$, and denote by the same letter its restriction to $\mathcal{D}$. To proof the desired quasi-isomorphism, it suffices to proof that maps $G^* : CC^{\cdot\cdot}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow CC^{\cdot\cdot}(\mathcal{D})$ and $(GF)^* : CC^{\cdot\cdot}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow CC^{\cdot\cdot}(\mathcal{C})$ are quasi-isomorphisms.

Therefore, we may assume that $\mathcal{D}$ is itself an actual graded category, and the functor F induces a bijection between the set of quasi-isomorphism classes of objects in $\mathcal{C}$ and the set $Ob(\mathcal{D})$.

Now define the simplicial set $\mathcal{X}$ by the formula $\mathcal{X}_n = Ob(\mathcal{D})^{n+1}$. Further, for $(Y_0, \dots, Y_n) \in \mathcal{X}_n$ and $f : [m] \rightarrow [n]$, put $f^*(Y_0, \dots, Y_n) = (Y_{f(0)}, Y_{f(1)}, \dots, Y_{f(m)})$.

Define the local system $\mathcal{A}$ on $\mathcal{X}$ by the formula

$$(3.11) \quad \mathcal{A}(Y_0, \dots, Y_n) = \bigoplus_j \text{Hom}^j \left(\bigotimes_{1 \leq l \leq n} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(Y_{l-1}, Y_l), \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(Y_0, Y_n) \right).$$

Further, for $f : [m] \rightarrow [n]$, and homogeneous $\phi^m \in \mathcal{A}(f^*(Y_0, \dots, Y_n))$, $a_i \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(Y_{i-1}, Y_n)$ we put

$$(3.12) \quad f(\phi^m)(a_n, \dots, a_1) = (-1)^{\epsilon(f)} a_n \dots a_{f(m)+1} \phi^m(a_{f(m)} \dots a_{f(m-1)+1}, \dots, a_{f(1)} \dots, a_{f(0)+1}) a_{f(0)} \dots a_1,$$

where $\epsilon(f) = \deg(\phi^m) \sum_{i=f(m)+1}^n \deg(a_i) + \frac{(n-m)(n+m+1)}{2}$, and the product over the empty set equals to the corresponding identity morphism.

Take the standard projective resolution $\mathcal{P}$ of the local system $\underline{k}$, as above. Then the complex $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{P}, \underline{k})$ is naturally isomorphic to the complex $\bigoplus_j CC^{\cdot\cdot j}$, hence

$$(3.13) \quad H(\mathcal{A}) \cong \bigoplus_j HH^{\cdot\cdot j}(\mathcal{D}).$$

Now we would like to express $HH^{\cdot,\cdot}(\mathcal{C})$ in similar terms. For $S \in \mathcal{C}_{tr}^{n+1}$, put $Q_S := P_{F(S)}$ — a projective local system on $\mathcal{X}$. If $S = (Y_0, \dots, Y_n)$, then put $(\sigma_i^{n-1})^*(S) := (Y_0, \dots, \widehat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_n)$. Clearly, $F((\sigma_i^{n-1})^*(S)) = (\sigma_i^{n-1})^*(F(S))$.

Define a chain complex $\mathcal{Q}_\cdot$ as follows. Put $\mathcal{Q}_n = \bigoplus_{S \in \mathcal{C}_{tr}^{n+1}} Q_S$. Further, the differential $\partial : \mathcal{Q}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}$ is the sum of maps $(-1)^i \sigma_i^{n-1} : \mathcal{Q}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{(\sigma_i^{n-1})^*(S)}$. We have obvious projection $\mathcal{Q}_0/Im(\partial) \rightarrow \underline{k}$.

It is clear that the complex $Hom(\mathcal{Q}_\cdot, \mathcal{A})$ is naturally quasi-isomorphic to the complex $\bigoplus_j CC^{i,j}(\mathcal{C})$. We have an obvious morphism of complexes $\Phi : \mathcal{Q}_\cdot \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\cdot$, with non-zero components being identity maps $Q_S \rightarrow P_{F(S)}$. The morphism Φ is compatible with projections $\mathcal{Q}_0 \rightarrow \underline{k}$, $\mathcal{P}_0 \rightarrow \underline{k}$. Also, we have the commutative diagram

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_j CC^{i,j}(\mathcal{D}) & \xrightarrow{F^*} & \bigoplus_j CC^{i,j}(\mathcal{D}) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow \\ Hom(\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\cdot \circ \Phi} & Hom(\mathcal{Q}_i, \mathcal{A}). \end{array}$$

Therefore, we are left to prove that $\mathcal{Q}_\cdot$ is a resolution of $\underline{k}$.

Sublemma. *The complex $\mathcal{Q}_\cdot$ is a resolution of $\underline{k}$.*

Proof. For convenience put $\mathcal{Q}_{-1} = \underline{k}$, and treat $k = \underline{k}(Y_0, \dots, Y_m)$ as $k[Hom([-1], [n])]$, where $[-1] := \emptyset$. So we need to prove that the complex $\mathcal{Q}_\cdot$ is acyclic.

Fix some sequence $T = (Y_0, \dots, Y_n) \in \mathcal{X}_n$, $n \geq 0$, and consider the complex $\mathcal{Q}_\cdot(T)$ of vector spaces. We have that $\mathcal{Q}_m(T)$ consists of linear combinations of pairs (f, S) , where $f : [m] \rightarrow [n]$, $S \in \mathcal{C}_{tr}^{m+1}$, such that $F(S) = f^*(T)$. Take some closed element $a = \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j(f_j, S_j) \in \mathcal{Q}_m(T)$, $m \geq -1$. We would like to construct its (non-canonically defined) pre-image $H(a) \in \mathcal{Q}_{m+1}(T)$ under the differential ∂ .

Define the maps $g_j : [m+1] \rightarrow [n]$, $j = 1, \dots, p$, by the formula

$$(3.15) \quad g_j(l) = \begin{cases} f_j(l-1) & \text{for } l > 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } l = 0. \end{cases}$$

Further, by the definition of an A_∞ -pre-category, there exists an object $\widetilde{Y} \in Ob(\mathcal{C})$ and a quasi-isomorphism $\widetilde{Y} \rightarrow Y_0$ such that all sequences $(\widetilde{Y}, S_j)$ are transversal. Since F induces a bijection between quasi-isomorphism classes in $\mathcal{C}$ and objects in $\mathcal{D}$, we have that $F(\widetilde{Y}) = F(Y_0)$. Therefore, $F(\widetilde{Y}, S_j) = (F(Y_0), F(S_j)) = g_j^*(T)$. Put

$$(3.16) \quad H(a) = \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j(g_j, (\widetilde{Y}, S_j)).$$

Then from the closedness of a we immediately obtain that $\partial(H(a)) = a$. This proves Sublemma. $\square$

Lemma is proved. $\square$

3.5. A_∞ -structures on a graded pre-category.

Definition 3.9. An A_∞ -structure on a graded pre-category $\mathcal{C}$ is a collection of maps m_n , $n \geq 3$, $\deg(m_n) = 2 - n$ for all transversal sequences, such that together with $m_2(a, b) = ab$ and $m_1 = 0$ they give a structure of A_∞ -pre-category on $\mathcal{C}$.

Two A_∞ -structures m and m' on $\mathcal{C}$ are called strongly homotopic if there exists an A_∞ -morphism $F : (\mathcal{C}, m) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}, m')$ with $F(X) = X$ for $X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, and $f_1 = \text{id}$. In this case F is called a strong homotopy between m and m' .

Formal collections of maps $f_n : \bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} \text{Hom}(X_{i-1}, X_i) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(X_0, X_n)$ of degree $1 - n$ for all transversal sequences $(X_0, \dots, X_n) \in \mathcal{C}_{tr}^{n+1}$, $n \geq 1$, with $f_1 = \text{id}$, form a group $G_{\mathcal{C}}$. The product of f and g is given by the same formula as the composition of A_∞ -functors. This group acts on the set $A_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ of A_∞ -structures on $\mathcal{C}$. Namely, $f(m) = m'$ iff f is an A_∞ -morphism from $(\mathcal{C}, m)$ to $(\mathcal{C}, m')$. Tautologically, two A_∞ -structures are strongly homotopic iff they lie in the same orbit of this action.

The following Lemmas are well-known for A_∞ -algebras, and the proof is in fact straightforward. We omit the proof.

Lemma 3.10. Let $(m_3, \dots, m_{n-1})$ be partially defined A_∞ -structure on a graded pre-category $\mathcal{C}$, i.e. the maps $m_{\leq n-1}$ satisfy all the required equations which do not contain $m_{\geq n}$. Write the first A_∞ -constraint containing m_n in the form

$$(3.17) \quad \partial(m_n) = \Phi,$$

where ∂ is the Hochschild differential and $\Phi = \Phi(m_3, \dots, m_{n-1})$ is quadratic expression. Then we always have $\partial(\Phi) = 0$.

Lemma 3.11. Let m and m' be two A_∞ -structures on a graded pre-category $\mathcal{C}$, with $m_i = m'_i$ for $i < n$. Let $f : (\mathcal{C}, m) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}, m')$ be an A_∞ -morphism with $f_1 = \text{id}$, and $f_i = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq n-2$. Then $m'_i = m_i$ for $i \leq n-1$, and $m'_n = m_n + \partial(f_{n-1})$.

Lemma 3.12. Let m and m' be two A_∞ -structures on a graded pre-category $\mathcal{C}$. Suppose that $(f_1 = \text{id}, f_2, \dots, f_{n-1})$ is a partially defined strong homotopy between m and m' . i.e. the maps $f_{\leq n-1}$ satisfy all the required equations which do not contain $f_{\geq n}$. Write the first A_∞ -constraint containing f_n in the form

$$(3.18) \quad \partial(f_n) = \Psi,$$

where ∂ is the Hochschild differential and $\Psi = \Psi(f_2, \dots, f_{n-1}; m, m')$ is a polynomial expression. Then we always have $\partial(\Psi) = 0$.

We will also need the notion of homotopy between two A_∞ -functors. First, let $f, f' : A \rightarrow B$ be two A_∞ -morphisms of (possibly non-unital) A_∞ -algebras. We have the associated morphisms of DG coalgebras $f, f' : T_+(A[1]) \rightarrow T_+(B[1])$. A homotopy between f and f' is a map $H : T_+(A[1]) \rightarrow T_+(B[1])$ satisfying the identities

$$(3.19) \quad \Delta \circ H = (f \otimes H + H \otimes f') \circ \Delta,$$

and

$$(3.20) \quad f - f' = b_B \circ H + H \circ b_A.$$

Any map H satisfying (3.19) is uniquely determined by its components $h_n : A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow B$, $\deg(h_n) = -n$.

Definition 3.13. Let $F, F' : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be A_∞ -functors between A_∞ -pre-categories, such that $F(X) = F'(X)$ for each $X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$. A homotopy H between f and f' is a collection of maps $h_n : \bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_{i-1}, X_i) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(X_0), F(X_n))$ of degree $-n$, for all transversal sequences $(X_0, \dots, X_n)$, satisfying the following property. For each transversal sequence $(X_0, \dots, X_N) \in \mathcal{C}_{tr}^{n+1}$, we have that the maps h_n define a homotopy between the restricted A_∞ -functors

$$(3.21) \quad F, F' : \bigoplus_{i < j} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_i, X_j) \rightarrow F, F' : \bigoplus_{i < j} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(X_i), F(X_j)).$$

We will need the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.14. Let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be an A_∞ -functor. Suppose that we are given with a collection of maps $h_n : \bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_{i-1}, X_i) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(X_0), F(X_n))$ for all transversal sequences. Then there exists a unique A_∞ -functor $F' : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, $F'(X) = F(X)$, such that the sequence h_n defines a homotopy between F and F' .

Moreover, in the case when $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{E}, m)$, $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{E}, m')$ are A_∞ -structures on the same graded pre-category $\mathcal{E}$, F belongs to $G_{\mathcal{E}}$, and $h_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-2$, we have that

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{cases} f'_i = f_i & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ f'_n = f_n + \partial(h_{n-1}) \end{cases}$$

Proof. The first statement is a direct consequence of [P], Lemma 2.1. The second one is checked straightforwardly. $\square$

3.6. Invariance Theorem. Let $\phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be an equivalence of graded pre-categories. We have a natural map $\phi^* : A_\infty(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow A_\infty(\mathcal{C})$, and a homomorphism $\phi^* : G_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow G_{\mathcal{C}}$, compatible with our group actions. Therefore we have a map of strong homotopy equivalence classes of A_∞ -structures:

$$(3.23) \quad \phi^* : A_\infty(\mathcal{D})/G_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow A_\infty(\mathcal{C})/G_{\mathcal{C}}.$$

Theorem 3.15. *The map (3.23) is a bijection.*

Proof. Surjectivity. First we prove that our map is surjective. Take some A_∞ -structure m on $\mathcal{C}$. We want to prove that it is strongly homotopic to some A_∞ -structure of the form $\phi^*(\widetilde{m})$, where $\widetilde{m}$ is an A_∞ -structure on $\mathcal{D}$. Clearly, it suffices to prove the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.16. *Let m be an A_∞ -structure on $\mathcal{C}$ such that $m_i = \phi^*(\widetilde{m}_i)$ for $3 \leq i \leq n-1$, where $(\widetilde{m}_3, \dots, \widetilde{m}_{n-1})$ is a partially defined A_∞ -structure on $\mathcal{D}$. Then m is strongly homotopic to some A_∞ -structure m' such that $m'_i = m_i$ for $i \leq n-1$, and $m'_n = \phi^*(\widetilde{m}_n)$, so that $(\widetilde{m}_3, \dots, \widetilde{m}_n)$ is a partially defined A_∞ -structure on $\mathcal{D}$. Moreover, strong homotopy $(f_1, f_2, \dots)$ between m and m' can be taken to be such that $f_2 = \dots = f_{n-2} = 0$.*

Proof. Write the first A_∞ -constraint containing m_n in the form

$$(3.24) \quad \partial(m_n) = \Phi,$$

as in Lemma 3.10. We have that $\Phi(m_3, \dots, m_{n-1})$ is a Hochschild coboundary. By Lemma 3.10, we have that $\Phi(\widetilde{m}_3, \dots, \widetilde{m}_{n-1})$ is a Hochschild cocycle. Further, we have that

$$(3.25) \quad \Phi(m_3, \dots, m_{n-1}) = \phi^* \Phi(\widetilde{m}_3, \dots, \widetilde{m}_{n-1}).$$

Therefore, Lemma 3.8 implies that $\Phi(\widetilde{m}_3, \dots, \widetilde{m}_{n-1})$ is a Hochschild coboundary. Take some $\widetilde{m}_n \in CC^{n,2-n}(\mathcal{D})$ such that $\partial(\widetilde{m}_n) = \Phi(\widetilde{m}_3, \dots, \widetilde{m}_{n-1})$.

We have that $\phi^*(\widetilde{m}_n) - m_n$ is a Hochschild cocycle. Again by Lemma 3.8, we can choose $\widetilde{m}_n$ in such a way that this difference is a Hochschild coboundary. Take some element $f \in G_{\mathcal{C}}$ such that $f_2 = \dots = f_{n-2} = 0$, and $\partial(f_{n-1}) = \phi^*(\widetilde{m}_n) - m_n$. Put $m' = f(m)$. By Lemma 3.11, we have that $m'_i = \phi^*(\widetilde{m}_i)$ for $i \leq n$. This proves Lemma. $\square$

Surjectivity is proved.

Injectivity. We are left to prove that our map is injective. Let m, m' be A_∞ -structures on $\mathcal{D}$, and let $F : (\mathcal{C}, \phi^*(m)) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}, \phi^*(m'))$ be a strong homotopy. We need to prove the existence of a strong homotopy between m and m' . Clearly, it suffices to prove the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.17. *Let m, m' be A_∞ -structures on $\mathcal{C}$. Let f be a strong homotopy between $\phi^*(m)$ and $\phi^*(m')$. Suppose that $f_i = \phi^*(\widetilde{f}_i)$ for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, where $(\widetilde{f}_2, \dots, \widetilde{f}_{n-1})$ is a partially defined strong homotopy between m and m' . Then there exists some strong homotopy f' between $\phi^*(m)$ and $\phi^*(m')$ such that $f'_i = f_i$ for $i \leq n-1$, and $f'_n = \phi^*(\widetilde{f}_n)$, so that $(\widetilde{f}_2, \dots, \widetilde{f}_n)$ is a partially defined strong homotopy between m and m' .*

Proof. Write the first A_∞ -constraint containing f_n in the form

$$(3.26) \quad \partial(f_n) = \Psi,$$

as in Lemma 3.12. We have that $\Psi(f_2, \dots, f_{n-1}; \phi^*(m), \phi^*(m'))$ is a Hochschild coboundary. By Lemma 3.12, we have that $\Psi(\widetilde{f}_2, \dots, \widetilde{f}_{n-1}; m, m')$ is a Hochschild cocycle. Further, we have that

$$(3.27) \quad \Psi(f_2, \dots, f_{n-1}, \phi^*(m), \phi^*(m')) = \phi^* \Psi(\widetilde{f}_2, \dots, \widetilde{f}_{n-1}; m, m').$$

Therefore, Lemma 3.8 implies that $\Psi(\widetilde{f}_2, \dots, \widetilde{f}_{n-1}, m, m')$ is a Hochschild coboundary. Take some $\widetilde{f}_n \in CC^{n, 1-n}(\mathcal{D})$ such that $\partial(\widetilde{f}_n) = \Psi(\widetilde{f}_2, \dots, \widetilde{f}_{n-1}; m, m')$.

We have that $\phi^*(\widetilde{f}_n) - f_n$ is a Hochschild cocycle. Again by Lemma 3.8, we can choose $\widetilde{f}_n$ in such a way that this difference is a Hochschild coboundary. Take some sequence of elements $h_n \in CC^{n, -n}$, $n \geq 1$, such that $h_2 = \dots = h_{n-2} = 0$, and $\partial(h_{n-1}) = \phi^*(\widetilde{f}_n) - f_n$. By Lemma 3.14, there exists a unique strong homotopy f' , such that the sequence h_n defines a homotopy between f and f' . Again by Lemma 3.14, we have that $f'_i = \phi^*(\widetilde{f}_i)$ for $i \leq n$. This proves Lemma. $\square$

Injectivity is proved. $\square$

3.7. Proof of Main Theorem. Before we prove Main Theorem, we need one more lemma.

Lemma 3.18. *Let $\phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be an equivalence of graded pre-categories. Let m, m' be some A_∞ -structures on $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ respectively. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *The functor ϕ can be extended to an A_∞ -functor $\Phi : (\mathcal{C}, m) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}, m')$;*
- (ii) *The A_∞ -structures m and $\phi^*(m')$, are strongly homotopic.*

Proof. Evident. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.2. By Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5, it suffices to prove that quasi-equivalence classes of small minimal A_∞ -categories are in bijection with quasi-equivalence classes of small minimal A_∞ -pre-categories.

Given a minimal A_∞ -category $\mathcal{C}$, it can also be considered as an A_∞ -pre-category with $\mathcal{C}_{tr}^n = \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})^n$. Clearly, if $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are quasi-equivalent minimal A_∞ -categories, then the associated minimal A_∞ -pre-categories are quasi-equivalent.

Now, let $\mathcal{C}$ be a minimal A_∞ -pre-category. Denote by m the A_∞ -structure on $\mathcal{C}^{gr}$ corresponding to $\mathcal{C}$.

We have an equivalence of graded pre-categories $\iota_{\mathcal{C}^{gr}} : \mathcal{C}^{gr} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{full}^{gr}$. By Theorem 3.15, there exists an A_∞ -structure $\tilde{m}$ on $\mathcal{C}_{full}^{gr}$, such that the A_∞ -structure $\iota_{\mathcal{C}^{gr}}^*(\tilde{m})$ is strongly homotopic to m . By lemma 3.18, the functor $\iota_{\mathcal{C}^{gr}}$ can be extended to the quasi-equivalence $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_{full}^{gr}, m)$. Hence, starting from a minimal A_∞ -pre-category, we constructed some minimal A_∞ -category $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, together with a quasi-equivalence $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. We are left to prove that, starting from quasi-equivalent A_∞ -pre-categories, we obtain quasi-equivalent A_∞ -categories.

Let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a quasi-equivalence of minimal A_∞ -pre-categories. The functor of graded categories $F_1 : \mathcal{C}^{gr} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{gr}$ can be obviously extended to a functor $\Phi_1 : \mathcal{C}_{full}^{gr} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{full}^{gr}$, so that we have a commutative square of functors:

$$(3.28) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}^{gr} & \xrightarrow{F_1} & \mathcal{D}^{gr} \\ \iota_{\mathcal{C}^{gr}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_{\mathcal{D}^{gr}} \\ \mathcal{C}_{full}^{gr} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} & \mathcal{D}_{full}^{gr} \end{array}$$

Denote by m (resp. m') the A_∞ -structure on $\mathcal{C}^{gr}$ (resp. on $\mathcal{D}^{gr}$) corresponding to $\mathcal{C}$ (resp. to $\mathcal{D}$). Further, denote by $\tilde{m}$ (resp. $\tilde{m}'$) the A_∞ -structure on $\mathcal{C}_{full}^{gr}$ (resp. on $\mathcal{D}_{full}^{gr}$) such that $\iota_{\mathcal{C}^{gr}}^*(\tilde{m})$ is strongly homotopic to m (resp. $\iota_{\mathcal{D}^{gr}}^*(\tilde{m}')$ is strongly homotopic to m'). By Lemma 3.18, A_∞ -structures $F_1^*(m')$ and m are also strongly homotopic. Hence, from the commutative square 3.28 and Theorem 3.15, we obtain that A_∞ -structures $\Phi_1^*(\tilde{m}')$ and $\tilde{m}$ are strongly homotopic. Therefore, by Lemma 3.18, the functor Φ_1 can be extended to a quasi-equivalence

$$(3.29) \quad \Phi : (\mathcal{C}_{full}^{gr}, \tilde{m}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}_{full}^{gr}, \tilde{m}').$$

Thus, starting from quasi-equivalent minimal A_∞ -pre-categories, we obtain quasi-equivalent minimal A_∞ -categories. Theorem is proved. $\square$

4. TWISTED COMPLEXES OVER A_∞ -PRE-CATEGORIES

It is clear that Main Theorem implies that we can take pre-triangulated envelope and perfect derived category of any essentially small A_∞ -pre-category, by replacing it with some quasi-equivalent A_∞ -category. However, it is useful in practice to have a construction of pre-triangulated envelope in the framework of A_∞ -pre-categories. We present such a construction in this section. It is in fact straightforward generalization of twisted complexes over ordinary A_∞ -categories [K].

We work here over arbitrary graded commutative ring k .

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an A_∞ -pre-category. Define the A_∞ -pre-category $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ as follows:

- 1) $Ob(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}) = \{X[n] \mid X \in Ob(\mathcal{C}), n \in \mathbb{Z}\};$
- 2) $(X_1[n_1], \dots, X_k[n_k]) \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{tr}^k \Leftrightarrow (X_1, \dots, X_k) \in \mathcal{C}_{tr}^k.$
- 3) For a transversal pair $(X_1[n_1], X_2[n_2])$, we put

$$(4.1) \quad \text{Hom}(X_1[n_1], X_2[n_2]) := \text{Hom}(X_1, X_2)[n_2 - n_1].$$

The higher products equal to that in $\mathcal{C}$.

Now, we define the twisted complexes. Given a transversal sequence $S = (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \mathcal{C}_{tr}^n$, we put

$$(4.2) \quad \text{End}_+(S) := \bigoplus_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \text{Hom}(X_i, X_j).$$

Definition 4.1. A non-unital A_∞ -algebra A is called nilpotent if it is equipped with finite decreasing filtration $A = F_1 A \supset F_2 A \supset \dots F_n A = 0$, such that

$$(4.3) \quad m_k(F_{r_1} A \otimes \dots \otimes F_{r_k} A) \subset F_{r_1 + \dots + r_k} A.$$

Clearly, $\text{End}_+(S)$ is a nilpotent A_∞ -algebra, with filtration $F \cdot \text{End}_+(S)$, where

$$(4.4) \quad F_r \text{End}_+(S) = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq j-r \leq n-r} \text{Hom}(X_i, X_j), \quad r \geq 1.$$

Just as in [ELO2], for each nilpotent A_∞ -algebra A , we have a groupoid $\mathcal{MC}(A)$ of Maurer-Cartan solutions in A . The following Lemma is straightforward generalization of [ELO2], Theorem 7.2.

Lemma 4.2. Let $f : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ be a filtered A_∞ -quasi-isomorphism of nilpotent A_∞ -algebras. Then the induced functor

$$(4.5) \quad f^* : \mathcal{MC}(A_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{MC}(A_2)$$

is an equivalence.

Definition 4.3. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an A_∞ -pre-category. Define the A_∞ -pre-category $\mathcal{C}^{pre-tr}$ of twisted complexes over $\mathcal{C}$ as follows:

- 1) Objects of $\mathcal{C}^{pre-tr}$ are pairs (S, α) , where S is some transversal sequence in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, and $\alpha \in \text{End}_+(S)^1$ is a Maurer-Cartan solution.
- 2) The sequence $((S_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (S_n, \alpha_n)) \in (\mathcal{C}^{pre-tr})^n$ is transversal iff the sequence $(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ is transversal in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$.
- 3) For a transversal pair $((S_1, \alpha_1), (S_2, \alpha_2))$ in $\mathcal{C}^{pre-tr}$, we put

$$(4.6) \quad \text{Hom}((S_1, \alpha_1), (S_2, \alpha_2)) = \bigoplus_{X \in S_1, Y \in S_2} \text{Hom}(X, Y).$$

4) For a transversal sequence, $((S_0, \alpha_0), \dots, (S_n, \alpha_n)) \in (\mathcal{C}^{pre-tr})_{tr}^{n+1}$, and homogeneous morphisms $x_i \in \text{Hom}((S_{i-1}, \alpha_{i-1}), (S_i, \alpha_i))$ we put

$$(4.7) \quad m_n(x_n, \dots, x_1) = \sum_{k_0, \dots, k_n \geq 0} (-1)^\epsilon m_{n+k_0+\dots+k_n}(\alpha_n^{k_n}, x_n, \alpha_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}}, \dots, x_1, \alpha_0^{k_0}),$$

$$\text{where } \epsilon = \sum_{n \geq i > j \geq 0} (\deg(x_i) + k_i)k_j + \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{k_i(k_i+1)}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^n ik_i.$$

Proposition 4.4. *The A_∞ -pre-category $\mathcal{C}^{pre-tr}$ is well-defined.*

Proof. The only non-obvious thing to check is the extension property. To prove it, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be an A_∞ -pre-category, and $(X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ a transversal sequence in $\mathcal{D}$. Suppose that we are given with quasi-isomorphisms $F_i : X_i \rightarrow Y_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then for each Maurer-Cartan solution $\beta \in \text{End}_+(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ (resp. $\alpha \in \text{End}_+(X_1, \dots, X_n)$) there exists a Maurer-Cartan solution $\alpha \in \text{End}_+(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ (resp. $\beta \in \text{End}_+(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$), together with a quasi-isomorphism $G : ((X_1, \dots, X_n), \alpha) \rightarrow ((Y_1, \dots, Y_n), \beta)$ in $\mathcal{D}^{pre-tr}$.*

Proof. Consider the following A_∞ -algebras: $\mathcal{A}_1 = \text{End}_+(X_1, \dots, X_n)$, $\mathcal{A}_2 = \text{End}_+(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ and $\mathcal{B}$ described as follows. As a k -module,

$$(4.8) \quad \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \mathcal{A}_2 \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \text{Hom}(X_i, Y_j)[-1],$$

and the higher products are direct sums of that in $\mathcal{D}$, and also

$$(4.9) \quad m_{k+l+1}(y_l, \dots, y_1, F_p, x_k, \dots, x_1) \quad \text{for } y_i \in \text{Hom}(Y_{j_{i-1}}, Y_{j_i}), x_i \in \text{Hom}(X_{q_{i-1}}, X_{q_i}),$$

$$1 \leq q_0 < \dots < q_k = p = j_0 < \dots < j_l \leq n.$$

We have obvious projections $\pi_i : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, which are quasi-isomorphisms.

Now suppose that $\beta \in \mathcal{A}_2^1$ is an MC solution. We will show how to construct the required $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1^1$. The construction in the other direction is analogous.

By Lemma 4.2, there exists an MC solution $\tilde{\beta} \in \mathcal{B}^1$ such that $\pi_2(\tilde{\beta})$ is homotopic to β . The components of $\tilde{\beta}$, together with $F_i : X_i \rightarrow Y_i$ give objects $E_1 = ((X_1, \dots, X_n), \pi_1(\tilde{\beta}))$, $E_2 = ((Y_1, \dots, Y_n), \pi_2(\tilde{\beta})) \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{D}^{pre-tr})$, and a quasi-isomorphism $F : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ (this is straightforward).

Further, if $h \in \mathcal{A}_2^0$ is a morphism $\pi_2(\tilde{\beta}) \rightarrow \beta$ in the groupoid $\mathcal{MC}(\mathcal{A}_2)$, then $G : E_1 \rightarrow ((Y_1, \dots, Y_n), \beta)$,

$$(4.10) \quad G = F + \sum_{k_0, k_1, k_2 \geq 0} m_{2+k_0+k_2}^{\mathcal{D}}(\beta^{k_2}, h, \pi_2(\tilde{\beta})^{k_1}, F, \pi_1(\tilde{\beta})^{k_0})$$

is a quasi-isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}^{pre-tr}$. This proves Lemma. $\square$

The above Lemma immediately implies the extension property for $\mathcal{D}^{pre-tr}$. $\square$

Proposition 4.6. 1) Each A_∞ -functor $F : \mathcal{D}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_2$ induces in the natural way an A_∞ -functor $F^* : \mathcal{D}_1^{pre-tr} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_2^{pre-tr}$.

2) In the case when F is a quasi-equivalence, F^* is also such.

Proof. 1) The A_∞ -functor F^* is given by the same formulas as in [ELO2], Section 7. We should prove that it preserves quasi-isomorphisms. We note that this is evident for the following class of "good" quasi-isomorphisms.

We call a quasi-isomorphism $f : ((X_1, \dots, X_n), \alpha) \rightarrow ((Y_1, \dots, Y_m), \beta)$ good if $n = m$, the components $f_{ij} : X_i \rightarrow Y_j$ vanish for $i > j$ and the components $f_{ii} : X_i \rightarrow Y_i$ are quasi-isomorphisms.

Clearly, good quasi-isomorphisms are preserved by F^* . It follows from Lemma 4.5 that for each quasi-isomorphism $f_1 : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ in $\mathcal{D}_1^{pre-tr}$, there exist quasi-isomorphisms $f_0 : E_0 \rightarrow E_1$, $f_2 : E_2 \rightarrow E_3$ such that the sequence (E_0, E_1, E_2, E_3) is transversal and $m_2(f_1, f_0)$, $m_2(f_2, f_1)$ are homotopic to good quasi-isomorphisms. This proves part 1) of Proposition.

2) If F is a quasi-isomorphism, then all morphisms $f_1 : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_1^{pre-tr}}(E_1, E_2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_2}(F(E_1), F(E_2))$ induce quasi-isomorphisms on the subquotients with respect to the natural filtrations

$$(4.11) \quad F_r \text{Hom}(((X_1, \dots, X_n), \alpha), ((Y_1, \dots, Y_m), \beta)) = \bigoplus_{j-i \geq r} \text{Hom}(X_i, Y_j).$$

Essential surjectivity is implied by Lemma 4.5, together with Lemma 4.2. $\square$

For the ordinary A_∞ -categories, our construction gives standard A_∞ -categories of twisted complexes introduced in [BK] for DG categories and generalized in [K] to A_∞ -categories.

Now suppose that k is again a field. By Proposition 4.6 2), we have that passing from an essentially small A_∞ -pre-category to quasi-equivalent A_∞ -category commutes (up to quasi-equivalence) with taking of twisted complexes.

REFERENCES

- [BK] A.I. Bondal, M.M. Kapranov, Enhanced triangulated categories, Mat. Sb., 181:5 (1990), 669683.
- [ELO2] A. Efimov, V. Lunts, D. Orlov, Deformation theory of objects in homotopy and derived categories II: pro-representability of the deformation functor, arXiv:math/0702839 (preprint), to appear in Adv. Math.

- [F] K. Fukaya, Morse homotopy, A_∞ -category, and Floer homologies, in Proceedings of GARC Workshop on Geometry and Topology, Seoul National University, 1993.
- [K] M. Kontsevich, Homological algebra of mirror symmetry, in Proceedings of ICM'94, Zurich, Birkhauser, 1995, 120-139.
- [KS] M. Kontsevich and Y. Soibelman, Homological mirror symmetry and torus fibrations, In Symplectic geometry and mirror symmetry (Seoul, 2000), pages 203-263. World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2001.
- [L-H] K. Lefèvre-Hasegawa, Sur les A_∞ -catégories, Thèse de doctorat, Université Denis Diderot - Paris 7, 2003.
- [P] A. Polishchuk, Extensions of homogeneous coordinate rings to A_∞ -algebras, Homology Homotopy Appl. Volume 5, Number 1 (2003), 407-421.
- [Se] P. Seidel, Fukaya categories and Picard-Lefschetz theory, European Math. Soc., 2008.

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY, MOSCOW, RUSSIA

INDEPENDENT UNIVERSITY OF MOSCOW, MOSCOW, RUSSIA

E-mail address: efimov@mccme.ru